BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

Mr. BARRY (at the request of Mr. unison) was granted permission to extead his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous mat-

Mr. BARRY. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Allen Dulles, the former Director of Central Intelligence, continues to take an To his interest in international affairs. everlasting credit, he is devoting his retirement years to giving fellow Americans the benefit of lessons learned durmg a lifetime of service to his country.

Mr. Dulles has written an article for ii: October 1963 issue of Nation's Busi-ness entitled, "Cold War Outlook," Be-couse of Mr. Dulles' unique background, this article is of importance. I recommend it to all of my colleagues who have en interest in infernational effairs.

I will not attempt to quote the entire article. However, one section of the artiele struck me as having significance because of the current state of Soviet-American relations. The section to which I refer deals with the possibilities of agreements with the Soviet Union. Mr. Dulles is very careful to point out the pitfalls of dealing with the Soviets. He suggests seven guidelines in dealing with the Russians. I quote:

It is not easy to draw up clear specifications as to what type or types of agreements can sufely and profitably be entered into with the representatives of the Saviet Union with its Communist principles and its Communist ambitions. Here are some possible guideposts:

1. The agreement should be executory in character and the sessions under it should be so timed as to be significaneously carried out; that is to say, nerrise party should modify its position to its possible disadvantage un-til the other party law sated likewise. (Ex-ample: Austrian line 210 (ty.)

2. The agreement should not depend upon interpret it.) unilateral action of cur side against the promise of some figure action by the Soviets.

3. The execution of the agreement should be self-policing; should evident (as most experts tell up a special with the test ban treaty).

postwar agreement the Soviets pro-ture, and information should be encouraged, withing trace in the thousand the encouraged. We have little to fear ourselves from what Here we true distributions for examples. We have little to fear ourselves from what they in peacetime may send to us, except dom to same all 100 Commonist candi-for their spice, whom they can and will send

FOIAb3b

CPYRGHT

5. The agreement should be as clear, precise, and comprehensive as the subject matter requires. (In the original 1945 agree-ment on allied access to Berlin, too much latitude was left to those who later had to

6. Gentlemen's agreements. usually vague in content and uncertain in performance, should never be relied upon in our dealings with the Soviets. (The test ban agreement which Khrushchev broke in 1961 s an example.)

to misconstructly) due to any possible dif-ference of Briggs Mon of its terms. (Our postwar agreements which tend to open up the barrier of the Iron Curtain to freer in-tercourse, fuller exchanges of ideas of con-

nitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75-00149R000100270014-0